Multiplexed Optical Imaging of Tumor-Directed Nanoparticles: A Review of Imaging Systems and Approaches
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Abstract

In recent decades, various classes of nanoparticles have been developed for optical imaging of cancers. Many of these nanoparticles are designed to specifically target tumor sites, and specific cancer biomarkers, to facilitate the visualization of tumors. However, one challenge for accurate detection of tumors is that the molecular profiles of most cancers vary greatly between patients as well as spatially and temporally within a single tumor mass. To overcome this challenge, certain nanoparticles and imaging systems have been developed to enable multiplexed imaging of large panels of cancer biomarkers. Multiplexed molecular imaging can potentially enable sensitive tumor detection, precise delineation of tumors during interventional procedures, and the prediction/monitoring of therapy response. In this review, we summarize recent advances in systems that have been developed for the imaging of optical nanoparticles that can be heavily multiplexed, which include surface-enhanced Raman-scattering nanoparticles (SERS NPs) and quantum dots (QDs). In addition to surveying the optical properties of these various types of nanoparticles, and the most-popular multiplexed imaging approaches that have been employed, representative preclinical and clinical imaging studies are also highlighted.
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Introduction

With over 8 million deaths in 2012, cancers of all forms are one of the most prevalent and deadly diseases in the world [1]. Advanced cancer imaging methods would ideally enable the detection of cancers at their earliest stages (before metastasis) and could also enable the complete removal of tumors, which are both important for reducing mortality amongst cancer patients [2, 3]. Histopathology, which relies on the microscopic examination of physically sectioned tissue specimens, is currently the gold-standard method for cancer diagnosis. However, histopathology requires invasive tissue resection and time-consuming sample preparation, and also suffers from sampling errors. These limitations motivate alternative optical diagnostic methods.

Over the past few decades, molecular imaging has shown great potential to promote earlier and more accurate detection of cancers, as it can reveal molecular phenotypes that directly reflect the biological processes in a cancer [4-6]. While other molecular imaging modalities have been used in the clinic for cancer detection such as positron emission tomography (PET), single photon emission CT (SPECT) and magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), optical imaging is attractive as it can provide images in real time with high spatial resolution and the use of
non-ionizing irradiation [7, 8]. One challenge for the molecular imaging of cancers is that the molecular profiles of most cancers vary greatly between patients as well as spatially and temporally within a single tumor mass [9-12]. Therefore, to improve the sensitivity and specificity of cancer detection, multiple disease-related molecules (or biomarkers) should ideally be evaluated, thereby necessitating multiplexed molecular imaging techniques.

Unlike label-free imaging methods, which are unable to provide information about the expression of large macromolecular targets, molecular imaging using exogenous contrast agents allows one to selectively image protein biomarkers of interest with enhanced signal, image contrast, spatial resolution and speed. Various types of exogenous contrast agents can be used for multiplexed molecular imaging, such as conventional fluorescent dyes, quantum dots (QDs) and surface-enhanced Raman-scattering nanoparticles (SERS NPs). Conventional fluorescent dyes have been well-established and commercialized, in which some results of preclinical and clinical imaging studies are provided to highlight the value of multiplexed molecular imaging for clinical applications.

In this review, after a brief introduction of some classes of nanoparticles that enable efficient and dense multiplexing, we will focus our discussion on recent advances in optical imaging systems that are designed to image these classes of multiplexed nanoparticle imaging probes (size 1-200 nm). Representative results of preclinical and clinical imaging studies are provided to highlight the value of multiplexed molecular imaging for clinical applications.

### Table 1. Previously reported imaging systems for multiplexed imaging of nanoparticles (DOM denotes the degree of multiplexing that was used in the study).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe type</th>
<th>DOM</th>
<th>Light source</th>
<th>Imaging mode (system)</th>
<th>Signal collection (system)</th>
<th>Spectral resolution</th>
<th>Spatial resolution</th>
<th>Applications</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dye-doped silica NPs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>488 nm laser</td>
<td>Microscope, scanning (Olympus Fluoview 500)</td>
<td>3 PMTs</td>
<td>20/30 nm (bandpass filter)</td>
<td>Microscopic</td>
<td>Multiplexed monitoring of cancer cells</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QDs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>445-490 nm, xenon lamp</td>
<td>Macroscopic, wide-field (Maestro In-Vivo)</td>
<td>CCD, 500-950 nm</td>
<td>10 nm (LCTF)</td>
<td>&gt;25 μm</td>
<td>Multiplexed monitoring of lymphatic drainage</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QDs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>330-385 nm</td>
<td>Microscope, wide-field (Olympus IX71)</td>
<td>CCD (Olympus QColor5), hyperspectral camera (Nanua), 420-720 nm</td>
<td>10 nm (LCTF)</td>
<td>Microscopic</td>
<td>Single-cell molecular profiling</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QDs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>350 nm, mercury lamp</td>
<td>Microscope, wide-field (Olympus IX71)</td>
<td>Multispectral imaging system (Nanua), 500-800 nm</td>
<td>10 nm (LCTF)</td>
<td>Microscopic</td>
<td>Multiplexed detection of rare tumor cells in tissues</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QDs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>405 nm laser, 25 mW</td>
<td>Microscope, scanning (Zeiss LSM 510 META)</td>
<td>META detector (32 PMT array); spectrograph (SpectroPro 150, Roper Scientific) and CCD</td>
<td>10 nm (PMT)</td>
<td>Microscopic</td>
<td>Molecular profiling of cancer cells &amp; tissue sections</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QDs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>445 nm, LED, 1 mW</td>
<td>Fiber bundle, wide-field (Olympus IX71)</td>
<td>CCD (Retiga EXi or JAI CV-S200N)</td>
<td>20 nm (bandpass filter)</td>
<td>&lt;4 μm</td>
<td>Molecular imaging of cancer cells &amp; tissues</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERS NPs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>785 nm laser, 24 mW</td>
<td>Fiber bundle, scanning</td>
<td>Spectrometer (BaySpec RamanSpec RS-780), CCD (Andor DU920P-BR-DD), 830-930 nm, 1 μm ~ 1 s / pixel</td>
<td>~1 nm</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
<td>Multiplexed endoscopy</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERS NPs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>785 nm laser, 60 mW</td>
<td>Microscope, scanning (Renishaw InVia)</td>
<td>Spectrometer, CCD, 1 s / pixel</td>
<td>~1 nm</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
<td>Multiplexed tissue imaging</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERS NPs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>785 nm laser, 15 mW</td>
<td>Fiber bundle, scanning</td>
<td>Spectrometer (Andor Holospec), CCD (Andor DU920P-BR-DD), 808-927 nm, 0.1 s / pixel</td>
<td>~1 nm</td>
<td>0.2-0.5 mm</td>
<td>Multiplexed molecular imaging of tissues</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERS NPs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>785 nm laser</td>
<td>Microscope, wide-field</td>
<td>EMCCD (Andor iXon DV885), 4 wavelength channels (tunable filters), 5 s / channel</td>
<td>4 nm</td>
<td>50 μm</td>
<td>Multiplexed molecular imaging of tissues</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWCNTs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>785 nm laser, 100 mW</td>
<td>Microscope, scanning (HORIBA-Jobin-Yvon)</td>
<td>CCD, 892-897 nm, 0.5 s / pixel</td>
<td>~1 nm</td>
<td>Microscopic</td>
<td>Multiplexed molecular imaging of cancer cells &amp; tissues</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Optical properties of nanoparticles for multiplexed molecular imaging

Nanoparticle imaging probes can be classified into fluorescence-based probes and scattering-based probes based on the different photophysical mechanisms that dominate. Figure 1 shows some examples of nanoparticles in each category along with their spectral profiles. Nanoparticles that are ideal for multiplexed imaging have some common optical properties, such as narrow emission peaks with symmetric Gaussian/Lorentzian profiles and a common, and often spectrally broad, excitation band. These properties facilitate the spectral unmixing of collected signals, and allow the simultaneous imaging of multiple flavors of probes.

Fluorescent probes

Fluorescent probes refer to contrast agents that absorb light of a specific wavelength and spontaneously emit light at a longer (Stokes-shifted) wavelength after a small time delay known as the fluorescence lifetime. Important examples of fluorescent probes include organic fluorescent dyes, dye-doped nanoparticles, polymer dots and QDs [13, 15, 17, 18]. While the former two examples utilize small organic fluorophores, the latter two examples are synthetic fluorophores in which semiconductor quantum states and bandgaps play analogous roles to the molecular quantum states (electronic and ro-vibrational states) that are at play in organic fluorophores.

Fluorescent dyes and dye-doped nanoparticles

Generally, fluorescent dyes and dye-doped nanoparticles have a broad emission band (50 nm or larger, FWHM, Figure 1), and often require multiple excitation wavelengths for different dyes, which are not ideal for multiplexed imaging [14, 19-21]. Note that silica nanoparticles can be loaded with multiple fluorescent dyes, which allows one to edit their emission spectra. In this way, many nanoparticle flavors can be made with different barcode emission spectra (i.e. the spectral shapes are based on the specific fluorophore combinations used). Although using multiple dyes further broadens the emission spectra, single-excitation multiplexing is possible [22, 23].

Polymer dots and quantum dots (QDs)

Polymer dots utilize semiconducting polymers that can exhibit strong fluorescence [15, 24, 25]. Some recent studies have claimed a 10-fold enhanced brightness of polymer dots over commercial fluorescent dyes and QDs [15, 26]. Polymer dots have a broad excitation range of 300 to 600 nm, enabling multiple flavors to be excited at the same wavelength [15, 27]. The first-generation polymer dots exhibited broad emission spectra that are similar to those of fluorescent dyes [15]. However, polymer dots with narrower emission spectra (36 nm to 50 nm) have been developed recently, which have made them promising candidates for multiplexed imaging [25, 26]. Some polymer dots are commercially available, such as the BD Horizon Brilliant Dyes. More details about polymer dots may be found in some recent reviews [15, 25].

Figure 1. Representative fluorescent probes and Raman-based nanoparticles as well as their emission spectra. The cartoons on the upper row only show one example of each probe type, and are not strictly drawn to scale. For the spectra shown on the bottom row, the dashed lines denote excitation spectra, and the solid lines denote emission/scattering spectra. The scattering spectra of the SERS NPs and carbon nanotubes are generated with 785-nm excitation. Since they utilize a scattering process, these nanoparticles can be excited across a broad range of wavelengths from the UV to NIR, but with varying efficiencies.
QDs are fluorescent semiconductor nanoparticles that most typically contain group II-VI (e.g. CdSe and CdTe), III-V (e.g. InP and InAs), IV-VI (e.g. PbTe, PbSe) or I-III-VI (e.g. CuInS2) elements [17, 28, 29]. Like polymer dots, one of the most attractive properties of QDs is their narrow and symmetric emission bands (~30 nm, Figure 1), which can be precisely tuned from the ultraviolet to infrared regions by changing the nanoparticle sizes and compositions [17, 29]. The broad absorption spectra and large Stokes shifts of QDs (Figure 1) enable simultaneous imaging of multiple flavors of QDs with single-wavelength excitation. Compared to conventional fluorescent dyes, QDs exhibit enhanced brightness and high resistance to photobleaching and chemical degradation [14, 17, 30-32]. QDs typically have a core-shell structure (e.g. CdSe core with a ZnS shell) functionalized with different coatings to optimize their quantum yields and stability [17, 28, 33]. Similar to other fluorescent probes, QDs are often coupled to a biomolecule for targeted imaging [28].

**Raman-scattering-based probes**

**SERS NPs**

Compared with signals generated via non-enhanced spontaneous Raman spectroscopy, signal enhancements of $10^6$ to $10^{14}$ have been observed when Raman-active analytes are placed on a roughened metal surface or on metallic nanoparticles (e.g. gold or silver) [34-37]. This effect is termed surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS). SERS was first discovered in the 1970s [38-40], and has been extensively investigated for ultrasensitive molecular detection and various biomedical applications since the late 1990s [34-37, 41-43]. A detailed explanation of the primary mechanisms that underlie the SERS phenomenon can be found in many publications [37, 44-47]. The extent of enhancement is, in part, determined by the chemical properties of the molecule [44], which means that the brightness of SERS NPs is influenced to some degree by the specific Raman reporters that are used. For example, by using Raman dyes with absorption maxima matched with NIR light sources, and a high affinity for gold surfaces, surface-enhanced resonant Raman scattering (SERRS) NPs have been developed with significantly enhanced brightness to enable ultrasensitive biomarker detection [48, 49].

SERS NPs are fabricated by attaching strong Raman-scattering molecules (i.e. Raman reporters) to the surface of noble metal cores (gold or silver), which allows the reporters to generate strong SERS signals under laser excitation (Figure 1) [46]. A protective shell stabilizes the SERS signal, preventing reporter detachment and aggregation of metallic cores. In addition, the protective shell makes the spectral output independent of the environment by preventing the adsorption of other molecules onto the metallic NP cores. In other words, the spectral fingerprints generated by the SERS NPs act as stable beacons to identify and quantify the NPs, and are completely unchanged by their chemical environment or molecular targets. A targeting molecule such as an antibody can be conjugated to the shell for targeted imaging. Details regarding the design and synthesis of SERS NPs have been discussed in some recent reviews [46, 50].

SERS NPs have several advantageous optical properties for multiplexed imaging over fluorescent dyes and quantum dots. Compared with broadband fluorescence spectra, the spectra of SERS NPs consist of a fixed combination of narrow emission peaks (1-2 nm, FWHM) [51], which allow different SERS NPs to be detected within a narrow wavelength region when excited at a common wavelength. Typically NIR light is used to minimize tissue autofluorescence and to reduce light scattering, which enables increased light penetration in tissue. Recent studies have demonstrated multiplexed imaging of 10 SERS NPs in tissues under 785-nm laser excitation [52, 53]. Similar to other nanoparticle agents like QDs, SERS NPs are photostable and do not bleach over time [54].

**Other Raman-scattering-based probes**

Other Raman-scattering-based probes have been investigated. For example, single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) can have a single bright Raman peak (G band) that is tunable within a small wavelength range (1529 cm$^{-1}$ to 1590 cm$^{-1}$) by adjusting the ratio of C12 and C13 isotopes [55]. One study showed that five flavors of SWCNTs could be synthesized with well-separated Raman peaks (Figure 1). Multiplexed molecular imaging of cancer cells and tumor xenograft have also been demonstrated by conjugating the SWCNTs to different antibodies [55].

**Imaging systems**

In this section, we summarize representative imaging approaches and systems for the multiplexed imaging of fluorescent and Raman-based probes (Table 1).

**Light sources**

Nanoparticle imaging probes can be excited with a variety of light sources such as lasers, light-emitting-diodes (LED) and high-intensity discharge lamps (e.g. mercury and xenon). For single-wavelength excitation applications (the focus of this review), lasers are often used due to their high stability [56], their superior brightness (high
directionality and radiant flux, with low etendue), and their narrow linewidths. The narrow linewidth is essential for generating narrow Raman-scattering spectra and is also preferred for fluorescence imaging because wide-band excitation can broaden the spectra of the tissue autofluorescence background. The excitation wavelength is constrained by the optical properties of the imaging probes. For example, fluorescent dyes and dye-doped nanoparticles typically have an optimal excitation wavelength that is close to their emission peak due to their relatively short Stokes shift (typically 20–50 nm), so the collection filters need to be carefully selected to reject excitation light while collecting as much fluorescence signal as possible. UV and blue excitation is often used to excite QDs due to the increased absorption (extinction coefficient) of QDs at UV wavelengths. Red or NIR excitation is often used to image Raman-scattering-based probes to minimize tissue autofluorescence, with the added benefit that the Stokes shift of Raman scattering can be considerably higher than for fluorescence (i.e. better separation of illumination and scattered light).

**Signal collection**

Signal collection methods differ amongst various imaging probes. For fluorescent probes that have a relatively wide emission spectrum (e.g. fluorescent dyes, dye-doped nanoparticles and polymer dots), bandpass filters with a bandwidth of 20-30 nm are often used to separate the signals from different fluorophores. When 3 or more fluorescent probes are multiplexed, spectral overlap is often unavoidable and light collected in individual wavelength channels comes from multiple fluorophores (Figure 2). In that case, linear unmixing of the collected signals is often used [66, 67]. While filter-based imaging methods typically collect signals from a limited number of wavelength channels, hyperspectral and spectrometer-based imaging devices have been developed to disperse signals into many more wavelength channels (up to thousands), which can reveal subtle spectral features and offer more accurate signal separation (linear unmixing [68-70]). In this review, we use the terms “multispectral,” “hyperspectral,” and “spectral imaging” to refer to imaging modalities that acquire two-dimensional images across a range of visible and infrared wavelengths. Hyperspectral imaging generally covers more spectral bands (up to a few hundred) with higher spectral resolution than multispectral imaging (e.g. RGB color cameras). Spectral imaging with moderate spectral resolution (5-20 nm) is often used for the detection of fluorescent dyes and QDs, and spectral imaging with higher resolution (~1 nm) is often used for detecting SERS NPs.

**Signal unmixing**

Signal unmixing is a critical aspect of spectral-imaging approaches. When multiple imaging probes are imaged simultaneously, accurate separation of signals from the different probes is essential for quantitative analysis of the molecular targets (Figure 2). Linear unmixing methods are often used [71], which have been shown to enable accurate separation of multiplexed probes visualized with both bandpass-filter-based imaging (multiple discrete channels) [72] or hyper-/multi-spectral imaging (many sequential channels) [66]. Linear unmixing (e.g. direct classical least squares) assumes that each measured spectrum is a linear combination of a known basis set of reference spectra, which often includes both the reference spectra of the imaging probes as well as tissue autofluorescence and other
background components (if known). Linear unmixing methods have been broadly used for multiplexed imaging of fluorescent dyes, QDs and SERS NPs, as discussed in many publications [66, 70, 73-76]. For QDs or SERS NPs that can be multiplexed with single-wavelength excitation, photobleaching is not a concern, which simplifies the linear unmixing algorithms. In contrast, multiplexed imaging of fluorescent dyes often requires more complex algorithms due to the involvement of multiple excitation wavelengths as well as photobleaching issues. Although the spectral shape of fluorescent probes do not change with excitation wavelength, their emission intensities do change [69]. Therefore, for each fluorophore, multiple reference spectra are often acquired for the linear unmixing process to accurately represent them under different excitation conditions. With 6 excitation wavelengths, multiplexed imaging of 16 fluorescent dyes (or 120 combinations of two of the 16 dyes) has been reported, using either a Nikon A1 or a Zeiss 710 laser scanning confocal microscope (32 channels, bandwidth <10 nm per channel) [69]. The excitation power of the 6 lasers must be kept the same for reference acquisition and multiplexed imaging [69]. In addition, photobleaching must often be considered [77].

Tissue background spectra are often included in the linear unmixing process when the background signals are not negligible compared to the probe signals. In practice, variations in tissue background are often observed due to heterogeneous tissue components. For example, tumor, muscle and fat exhibit different autofluorescence spectra [78]. Variations in hemoglobin concentration in muscle, or variations in collagen, NADH, and/or melanin in skin, can also alter tissue background spectra [79]. Many methods have been proposed to increase the robustness of the unmixing algorithms to tissue background variations, such as adding a low-order polynomial term to compensate for slight background changes [80], allowing the baseline to vary [81-83], allowing the peaks of the reference spectra to vary [84], and incorporating the principal components of variable background spectra as reference spectra [70]. Allowing the background spectra to vary according to a low-order polynomial model can effectively account for minor broadband background variations without acquiring numerous background spectra [80]. Principal component analysis (PCA) requires additional background measurements (training sets) from many potential sources of tissue background (tumor, normal, fat, skin, etc.) to extract a few principal component spectra, which can be used as reference spectra to account for moderate or high background variations [70, 75]. The combination of PCA and a polynomial model into a linear-unmixing algorithm has been shown to be a robust method to deconvolve signals of multiplexed SERS NPs in heterogenous tissues [62, 85](1).

\[
S = \sum_n w_n R_n \quad \text{(1)}
\]

where \(R = \left[ Bks(Avg + PC_1 + PC_2 + \cdots) \right] \) and Poly

\( S = \) measured spectral data

\( w_n = \) weight of spectral component \( n \)

\( R_n = \) reference spectrum of component \( n \).

The reference spectra include contributions from the imaging probes (Probes), tissue background and other background components (e.g. tissue substrate, buffer). If a background varies (e.g. heterogenous tissue), the average spectrum (Avg) and the first 2 or 3 principal component spectra (PC, 2..) of numerous prior acquired background spectra may be used as reference spectra. In addition, a low-order polynomial term (Poly) may be added to account for unknown broadband variations.

### Imaging approaches

#### Scanning-based imaging

The two major spectral imaging categories are scanning-based imaging and wide-field imaging (Figure 3). The former is usually implemented by scanning a collimated or focused laser beam (in the form of a spot or a line) across the specimen (Figure 4A and 4B). For example, raster scanning may be achieved by steering the laser beam with a galvanometric scanning mirror (high speed) or translating the specimen with a mechanical stage (low speed) [86]. Rotational scanning is often utilized in endoscopy to image hollow organs such as the esophagus and colon [87, 88]. Compared with wide-field imaging approaches, scanning approaches can provide improved spectral resolution by collecting a highly resolved spectrum at each point or line of pixels on the sample, but at the cost of decreased imaging speed. High spectral resolution enables accurate demultiplexing and quantification of imaging probes.

A variety of detectors can be used for scanning-based imaging, such as photomultiplier tubes (PMT), avalanche photodiodes (APD), charged coupled devices (CCD), intensified CCDs (ICCD), electron-multiplying CCDs (EMCCD), and scientific complementary metal–oxide semiconductor (sCMOS) arrays. Among them, the PMT is the most sensitive detector but suffers from a low dynamic range at any given gain setting. In addition, high costs prohibit the manufacturing of high-pixel-count PMT arrays.
(low-pixel arrays such as 1×32 and 8×8 arrays are available at reasonable costs). Furthermore, most PMTs are only sensitive to light at 200 - 900 nm (common photocathode materials lose 99% quantum efficiency above 800 or 900 nm). Nevertheless, PMTs are often used in scanning-based imaging approaches to achieve high imaging speed. Laser scanning confocal microscopes equipped with 32-channel PMT arrays are commercially available for hyperspectral imaging of multiplexed fluorescent dyes and QDs (e.g. Zeiss LSM 710/780, Nikon A1, ~10 nm spectral bandwidth per channel). APDs, though slightly less sensitive than PMTs, are much less expensive and exhibit superior dynamic range. A recent study even showed that an APD can achieve better sensitivity than a PMT in the NIR range (650-1000 nm) [89].

CCDs are often used for spectral imaging. A full spectrum can be recorded by dispersing the collected light along one dimension of the CCD through the use of a prism or grating. The large number of pixels in CCD arrays can be utilized for flexible tuning of spectral resolution by binning adjacent pixels (in the spectral direction). Spectral resolutions of 0.5-2 nm are often utilized for multiplexed imaging of Raman-scattering-based probes (e.g. SERS NPs and SWCNTs) [48, 52, 55, 90, 91]. However, our recent study showed that a much lower spectral resolution of 4-8 nm can still allow for accurate unmixing of up to 5 SERS NP flavors, suggesting the potential of using highly sensitive detector arrays (e.g. 16/32-channel PMT/APD array) as an alternative to enhance imaging speeds [92] (Figure 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probes</th>
<th>Excitation</th>
<th>Delivery</th>
<th>Filtering</th>
<th>Detection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescent dyes/dye-doped NPs</td>
<td>Scanning-based</td>
<td>Fiber optics</td>
<td>Spatial dispersion (grating, prism)</td>
<td>CCD, sCMOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QDs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wavelength scan (bandpass filters, filter wheels, LCTF, etc.)</td>
<td>PMT/APD (array)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERS NPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Schematic of optical imaging approaches for different imaging probes. The solid lines represent widely used approaches, and the dash lines represent rarely used or potential approaches.

Figure 4. Typical (hyper)spectral imaging approaches. (A) Point scan. (B) Line scan (i.e. “pushbroom”). (C) Wavelength scan. (D) Snapshot.
Wide-field imaging

Here, we define “wide-field imaging” as a method that illuminates a region and detects the emitted light from an array of points (pixels) within the area using a camera (a 2D detector array). Compared with scanning-based imaging methods, wide-field imaging eliminates the need for mechanical scanning and can image a large area with one acquisition (Figure 4C and 4D), which is typically simpler and faster. Wide-field imaging methods are often utilized to achieve high spatial resolution, including super-resolution with structured illumination [93]. Since wide-field imaging typically cannot reject out-of-focus light efficiently, samples with micron-scale thicknesses (e.g. cells or tissue sections) are typically necessary to obtain high-contrast images. However, there are exceptions when certain imaging probes are used. For example, large nanoparticles (>100 nm) topically applied on thick tissues have a negligible penetration depth of 10-20 µm [62], which ensures that the nanoparticle signals originate from a thin layer on the tissue surface, eliminating the need to physically section the tissue. Another strategy is to limit light penetration, by either using deep UV excitation, which ensures that only the fluorescent dyes near the tissue surface are excited [94], or using “pseudo optical-sectioning” approaches such as structured illumination microscopy (different from SIM for super-resolution) to remove some of the out-of-focus background [95-98].

CCDs and newly developed scientific CMOS (sCMOS) sensor arrays are the most widely used detector arrays for wide-field imaging, allowing for the acquisition of millions of spatial pixels with one exposure in time. Rapid hyperspectral wide-field imaging can be achieved by either acquiring two-dimensional (2D) images at multiple wavelength channels over time (Figure 4C) [65] or taking a snapshot to acquire both spatial and spectral information (sometimes referred to “snapshot hyperspectral imaging”) (Figure 4D) [99-101]. The former approach can achieve a high spectral resolution (channel bandwidth) of 1-5 nm by using monochromators, filter wheels, or electronic tunable filters such as liquid crystal tunable filters (LCTFs) and acousto-optic tunable filters (AOTFs) [100]. Although expensive, LCTFs and AOTFs are preferred for hyperspectral imaging since they are faster, more compact and stable, and offer increased spectral selectivity than filter wheels [102, 103]. The main disadvantage of filter-based spectral imaging is low light throughput and prolonged imaging times due to the fact that only one filter channel is collected at a time and the rest of the photons are discarded.

Figure 5. Raman-enabled molecular imaging of a human breast tissue specimen stained with a 5-flavor SERS NP mixture (EGFR-, HER2-, CD24-, CD44-, and isotype-NPs, 150 pM/flavor) – a comparison of spectral imaging and unmixing using 1024 and binned (32, 16 and 8) spectral channels. The mixture of SERS NPs was topically applied on fresh tissue surfaces for 5 min, followed by a 10-s rinse-removal step in PBS and raster-scanned spectral imaging with a 785-nm laser (3 min). (A) Ratiometric images of a human breast tissue specimen. From top to bottom, the rows display ratiometric images of EGFR/isotype-NP, HER2/isotype-NP, CD24/isotype-NP and CD44/isotype-NP. From left to right, the columns display ratiometric images obtained with a decreasing number of spectral channels. (B) A photograph of the tissue specimen. (C) H&E histology of the specimen, with higher magnification views of fat (left), normal breast tissue (middle), and tumor (right). Unlabeled scale bars represent 200 µm. (D) Average error (%) in the measured NP ratios when using spectral compression in comparison to the gold-standard images (1024 spectral channels). The error bars represent the standard deviation amongst all pixels in the image. These results showed that a low spectral resolution of 4 nm (32 bins) or 8 nm (16 bins) may still allow accurate unmixing of 5 SERS NP flavors, suggesting the potential of using advanced detector arrays (e.g. 16/32-channel PMT/APD array) to achieve high imaging speed. Reproduced with permission from [92].
comparison, the latter “snapshot” approach typically utilizes a combination of image-division elements and dispersive elements (typically a prism or diffractive optical element) to image a 2D field onto sub-regions of a detector array such that all spatial pixels and spectral channels are imaged simultaneously (Figure 4D shows the image mapping spectrometry approach [104, 105]; detailed discussion of all snapshot imaging approaches can be found in [101, 106]). Snapshot hyperspectral imaging can enable enhanced light throughput and imaging speed, but may require a tradeoff between spatial and spectral resolution since a limited number of camera pixels must be used to collect signals from both different spectral channels as well as different spatial positions [100, 107, 108]. Additional details regarding multispectral and hyperspectral imaging systems can be found in some recent reviews [100, 101, 107]. Both filter-based and snapshot approaches have been extensively utilized for wide-field imaging of multiplexed fluorescent probes [103, 107]. For Raman-scattering-based probes, the slow scanning approach is still preferred, due to a desire for higher spectral resolution (more spectral channels). However, wide-field imaging is also applicable. For example, one group demonstrated wide-field imaging of 4 flavors of SERS NPs in mouse tissue using a tunable filter (4 channels with 4-nm bandwidth per channel), which enabled the imaging of a large field of view of 2.2 cm² at 50-µm resolution [64, 65]. Further work is needed to explore the snapshot approach, which is theoretically the most efficient way to achieve hyperspectral imaging when a balance between spectral and spatial resolution is desired. For example, as discussed in Section 3.4.1, a spectral resolution of 4-8 nm may be sufficient to allow a snapshot imaging system to image and demultiplex 5 or more SERS NPs simultaneously ([92], Figure 5).

**Fiber optic delivery**

Over the past few decades, the development of fiber optics technologies has greatly broadened the applicability of optical imaging for in vivo clinical and preclinical applications such as endoscopy, point-of-care imaging/sensing with portable devices, and imaging of live animals [52, 109-115]. Fiber optic delivery can be used in both scanning-based and wide-field imaging approaches. Representative fiber optics-based scanning methods have been summarized in other review articles [110, 111]. Some examples of scanning methods used in multiplexed molecular endoscopy are provided in Section 4.1 (Figure 6 and 7).

Combining wide-field spectral imaging with fiber optics can enable the development of versatile tools for rapid clinical diagnosis. For example, bandpass-filter-based wide-field imaging of 3 QDs has been demonstrated with a 1-mm-diameter fiber bundle that contains 30,000 fibers [61]. Fiber bundles have also been adopted for snapshot hyperspectral imaging, by offering the flexibility to spatially rearrange image pixels [101, 106]. A simple strategy is to rearrange a 2D-array image into a 1D-array image so that the collected light can be dispersed onto a 2D detector in a way that facilitates image processing [116-119]. This snapshot method can achieve high spectral resolution. One study reported real-time snapshot imaging with a fiber bundle to acquire 44×40 spatial pixels × 300 spectral channels (400 to 1100 nm, ~2.4 nm spectral resolution) [119]. More sophisticated image-mapping methods can be used when high spectral resolution is not desired. For example, a snapshot hyperspectral imaging endoscope was developed with an image mapper to break an image into 24 subimages. This endoscope could acquire datacubes (350×350 pixels×48 channels, spatial resolution 100 µm) at a speed of 5.2 cubes/s for reflectance and fluorescence imaging [105]. Another recent study presented a multimodal endomicroscope that combined high-resolution confocal fluorescence imaging with wide-field snapshot multispectral imaging [120]. *Ex vivo* imaging of human oral tissue specimens demonstrated the capability of the endomicroscope to rapidly localize abnormal lesions within a 5-mm field of view followed by high-resolution multispectral imaging to determine the presence and degree of neoplasia [120]. These techniques can potentially be used to enable surgical guidance, early cancer detection, and molecular profiling/staging of diseases. An extended discussion of these types of clinical applications and related imaging systems will be provided in the following section.

Some limitations of fiber bundles include: undersampling due to limited numbers of fibers in flexible bundles, reduced spatial resolution and light throughput due to the space between fiber cores, and missing image pixels due to fiber damage (almost unavoidable when hundreds/thousands of fibers are used, as shown in [118]).

**Cancer imaging with multiplexed imaging probes**

Spectral-imaging techniques have been increasingly used to improve the detection of cancers, including those of the cervix, breast, gastrointestinal tract, skin, prostate, trachea, oral cavity, brain and lymph nodes [100, 121]. These approaches have either analyzed the intrinsic optical characteristics (absorption, scattering, and fluorescence) of tissues or
have visualized the aberrant expression of cancer biomarkers with targeted exogenous imaging probes. In this section, we will focus on the latter, where representative systems used for various clinical applications will be introduced.

**Early detection of gastrointestinal cancer**

Gastrointestinal (GI) cancers, including those of the esophagus, stomach, pancreas, liver and colon, are some of the most prevalent diseases worldwide [122]. Early detection is key to patient survival, and could be aided by molecular imaging technologies. However, accurate detection is hampered by tumor heterogeneity - the variability in molecular expression patterns exhibited between patients and within patients over time, which motivates the imaging of multiple biomarkers. Although very few nanoparticle reagents have been approved for human use [123, 124], studies with animal models have demonstrated the feasibility of multiplexed molecular endoscopy in vivo with fluorescent probes and SERS NPs.

---

**Figure 6. Multispectral scanning fiber endoscope.** (A) Optical design. RGB laser excitation (440, 532, and 635 nm) is delivered into a single-mode optical fiber that is scanned in a spiral pattern by a piezo tube actuator and focused onto the tissue (illumination plane) by a lens assembly. Fluorescence is collected by a ring of 12 collection fibers mounted around the periphery of the scanner housing, protected by an outer sheath. (B) Fluorescence detection. Reflectance from RGB laser excitation is removed using a combination of longpass ($\lambda_{LP} = 450$ nm) and notch ($\lambda_{N1} = 532$ nm and $\lambda_{N2} = 632.8$ nm) filters. Fluorescence is deflected into individual RGB channels using dichroic mirrors DM1 ($\lambda_{C} = 460$ nm) and DM2 ($\lambda_{C} = 550$ nm) and an additive dichroic filter set ($\lambda_r$, $\lambda_g$, and $\lambda_b$) prior to detection with PMTs. Reproduced with permission from [125].
Simultaneous imaging of three fluorophores in a mouse colon has been demonstrated with a multispectral scanning fiber endoscope with a 1.2-mm outer diameter (OD) [87, 112, 125]. Three laser sources (440, 532 and 635 nm, <2 mW/each) were delivered simultaneously through a single-mode fiber within the distal tip that was scanned in a spiral pattern (Figure 6A). Fluorescence signals were collected by a ring of 12 optical fibers (not scanned), dispersed into 3 spectral channels using dichroic beam splitters, and focused onto separate PMTs for detection (Figure 6B). The 3 fluorescent dyes were chosen with minimal spectral overlap so that signal unmixing was not necessary. The endoscope can potentially be used for the early detection of colorectal cancer via real time in vivo molecular imaging of multiplexed targeted agents.

Several spectral imaging endoscopes have been developed for multiplexed imaging of topically applied SERS NPs (up to 10 flavors) in a rat esophagus or the colon of swine [52, 113, 126, 127]. A common feature of these spectral endoscopes has been the use of a fiber bundle with a centrally located single-mode or multimode illumination fiber (785 nm) that is surrounded by many multimode fibers for light collection (Figure 7). Since a point-scanning approach was used, the illumination spot size at the tissue surface (0.5 or 1 mm) defined the spatial resolution of these systems. While the multimode collection fibers were arranged in a compact circular bundle at the distal tip of the imaging device, the fibers were rearranged into a linear array at the proximal end, which served as the entrance slit of a spectrometer (a configuration that has also been used for acquiring intrinsic Raman spectra from tissues [128]). Within the spectrometers used in these detection systems, collected light is dispersed by a grating onto a CCD array, where full vertical binning (FVB) is used to sum the contribution from all of the collection fibers to generate a spectrum. Rotational scanning is achieved by attaching a prism [126] or some other motorized component [127] to the tip of the fiber-bundle probe. Note that a topical application approach was used in these studies, which enabled rapid binding of SERS NPs to the cell-surface biomarkers on the lumen of GI tract (in <10 min [113]) with minimal systemic uptake [129-131]). Using topically applied multiplexed SERS NPs, these endoscopes can quantify multiple biomarkers over large areas of the esophagus or colon, in a time period that is consistent with routine endoscopy procedures, thereby offering the potential for early detection of GI cancers.

**Surgical guidance**

Surgery remains an effective treatment strategy for most types of solid tumors. However, high local recurrence rates occur in many cases due to incomplete tumor resections (i.e. positive margins).
Examples include breast conserving surgery (20%–50% positive margin rates) [132], radical prostatectomy (11%–38% positive margin rates) [133] and head and neck tumor resections (11–16% positive margin rates) [134–136]. Molecular imaging has been explored to visualize tumors during surgery with the goal of maximizing tumor resection and preservation of important normal structures, including cosmetically sensitive tissues. In most cases, improved tumor resection is correlated with improved patient outcomes, and being able to successfully resect the tumor in one operation is important for minimizing patient trauma (physically and emotionally) and decreases overall health-care costs. Fluorescence-guided surgery has been demonstrated in clinical trials for decades using approved fluorescent dyes (e.g. indocyanine green and methylene blue) and commercialized imaging systems [137, 138]. However, a major hurdle for molecular image-guided surgery is the molecular heterogeneity of tumors, which can potentially be overcome by imaging multiple biomarkers. The following paragraphs describe a few examples of such approaches under development.

Simultaneous imaging of 5 QDs in mice has been demonstrated to monitor lymphatic drainage, which can potentially predict the route of cancer metastasis and the resection of involved nodes during surgery [57]. The imaging was carried out using a Maestro In-Vivo Imaging System (CRI Inc.), which was used to excite 5 QDs at 445 - 490 nm with a xenon lamp. QD fluorescence was collected in the range of 500 - 950 nm at 10-nm increments through the use of a LCTF (at exposure times of several seconds per wavelength channel). Regions of interest from a mouse were imaged with this system in a few minutes.

High grade gliomas (HGG), in particular glioblastoma multiforme (GBM, grade IV astrocytoma), are aggressive brain tumors that result in poor survival (patients die on average 12-15 months after diagnosis) [139]. Although surgical resection of GBM tumors has shown efficacy for improving patient survival [140, 141], complete tumor resection is challenging due to the inability to image infiltrating tumor cells intraoperatively with microscopic resolution and high specificity. Several fluorescence guidance technologies have been developed to maximize the extent of resections, in which a single fluorescence contrast agent was imaged [142, 143]. Other studies have explored the use of nanoparticles for guiding brain tumor resection, such as QDs [144-148] and SERRS NPs [149-151], both of which allow for multiplexed imaging. One study demonstrated simultaneous imaging of two SERRS NPs that were systemically administered in a GBM mouse model (Figure 8), in which a non-targeted SERRS NPs enabled delineation of the main tumor through the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect, and a second integrin-targeted SERRS NPs enabled more-accurate visualization of the extent and the diffuse margins of the main tumor (including isolated distant tumor cell clusters of less than 5 cells) [151]. In this study, fixed brain sections (1-mm thick) were imaged instead of living mice to better correlate the Raman images with histological images (Figure 8D and 8E). Imaging was performed with a Renishaw inVia Raman microscope with 785-nm laser excitation (10-100 mW), a 5× or 20× objective (Leica), and the StreamLine line-scanning mode. The Raman spectra were unmixed using a direct classical least squares (DCLS) algorithm that is embedded in the Wire 3.4 Raman imaging software (Renishaw) to generate images of the two SERRS NPs.

Approximately 200,000 patients are diagnosed with early-stage breast cancer each year in the United States, for which breast-conserving surgery (a.k.a. lumpectomy) is a standard intervention [152]. Unfortunately, 20% - 50% of these patients require additional surgery if post-operative pathology reveals positive margins [132]. A wide variety of intraoperative guidance techniques have been developed to identify residual tumors at the surgical margins [153], with varying degrees of success and limitations. Breast cancer is a well-studied disease with established biomarkers and molecular subtypes, which are commonly evaluated by pathologists to aid diagnosis and to guide treatment decisions. Therefore, molecular imaging can potentially enable highly sensitive and specific detection of residual tumors, through quantification of multiple biomarkers intraoperatively. Early in 2007, multiplexed molecular imaging of 5 biomarkers in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue sections was demonstrated with 5 QDs, showing the feasibility to identify breast tumors with heterogenous molecular profiles [60]. Recently, a SERS NP-based intraoperative imaging technique has been developed to rapidly quantify multiple cancer biomarkers at the margins of freshly resected breast tissues [62, 63, 154]. This technique circumvents toxicity and sterility concerns by staining and imaging fresh surgical specimens ex vivo, and mitigates a number of misleading nonspecific effects through ratiometric imaging of targeted versus untargeted NPs to achieve unambiguous biomarker quantification [154-156]. After 5 min of staining, 10 s of rinsing and < 5 min of raster-scanned imaging of tissue specimens, 4 biomarkers were simultaneously quantified across the entire surgical margin, which enabled this technique to achieve 89.3% sensitivity and 92.1% specificity for the detection of breast carcinoma [63].
process requires <15 min in total, which is acceptable for time-constrained intraoperative conditions [62] (Figure 9). The NP-stained tissue surfaces were imaged with 785-nm excitation (<20 mW) using a customized spectral-imaging system (similar to the spectral endoscope described in Section 4.1 [126]), which achieved a raster-scanned imaging speed of >3 cm²/min (integration time: 20-50 ms; pixel spacing: 0.5 mm).

Figure 8. Integrin-targeted SERRS nanoparticles enable the detection of bulk and infiltrative glioblastomas. (A) Conceptual figure outlining integrin-based detection and delineation of glioblastoma with cRGDyK-conjugated SERRS nanoparticles. Due to the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect of the GBMs, accumulation of the non-targeted RAD-SERRS nanoparticles (green) was limited to the bulk tumor, while the RGD-SERRS probe (red) could be detected in both the bulk tumor and the infiltrating tumor cells due to targeting of overexpressed integrin receptors. (B) SERRS spectra and (C) transmission electron micrographs (TEM) of the RGD-SERRS nanoparticles (red) and the non-targeted control RAD-SERRS nanoparticles (green). Scale bar = 100 nm. (D) Integrin-targeted SERRS nanoparticles enable the detection of bulk and infiltrative glioblastomas. GBM-bearing animals were co-injected with equimolar amounts of RGD-SERRS (targeted; red) and RAD-SERRS (non-targeted; green) nanoparticles. Multiplexed Raman imaging was performed on a coronal brain section (thickness 1 mm) in a paraffin block generated from a representative GBM-bearing mouse. Both RGD-SERRS (red) and RAD-SERRS (green) nanoparticles were detected in the bulk tumor located in the right hemisphere (OLIG2-positive). RGD-SERRS nanoparticles outlined the true tumor extent markedly better than the RAD-SERRS nanoparticles. (E) Sequential sections cut from the Raman-imaged paraffin block processed with H&E staining and immunohistochemistry of integrin β3 (α-ITGB3, target of the RGD-SERRS nanoparticles), OLIG2 (α-OLIG2), and polyethylene glycol linker (α-PEG, nanoparticle presence), respectively. (F) Pearson correlation analysis of the RGD-SERRS positive areas and OLIG-2 staining (n=7) was performed and a strong correlation (R=0.84) was found. Reproduced with permission from [151].
**Molecular pathology**

For over four decades, immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis of FFPE tissue sections has been a clinical mainstay for the diagnosis, grading, and subtyping of tumors. However, IHC is semi-quantitative, subjective [157, 158], and, in the case of standard chromogenic staining methods, is unable to achieve multiplexed molecular imaging. Recently, many studies have shown that linear labeling and quantitative analysis of biomarkers in tissue sections can be achieved using bioconjugated nanoparticles such as QDs, with added benefits of high signal stability (no photobleaching) and a high degree of multiplexing [59, 60, 72, 159-164].

Simultaneous quantification of 5 biomarkers using QD-based IHC has been demonstrated on human prostate [159], breast [60] (Figure 10) and lymphoid tissue sections [72] as well as on mouse spleen tissue sections [164]. For image acquisition, the first study utilized a multispectral imaging system (Nuance, CRI) to scan a 580–700 nm wavelength range using a LCTF (20-nm bandwidth, 13 channels at 10-nm increments). Detection was achieved with a
cooled, scientific-grade monochrome CCD camera (overall acquisition time ~10 s per multispectral image)[159]. The second and third studies utilized a Zeiss LSM 510 META confocal microscope with a META detector [72].

Multiplexed IHC using quantum dots could also facilitate the detection and characterization of tumor cells in complex tissue microenvironments [59, 165]. For example, multiplexed imaging of 4 biomarkers has been shown to enable the identification of rare (~1%) Hodgkin’s and Reed-Sternberg (HRS) cells from infiltrating immune cells such as T and B lymphocytes (Figure 11A and 11B) [59]. This study also demonstrated a distinct QD staining pattern of HRS cells that can be used to differentiate Hodgkin’s lymphoma from benign lymphoid hyperplasia. In another study, multiplexed imaging of 4 biomarkers using QDs was shown to allow detection of structurally distinct prostate glands and single cancer cells within the complex microenvironments of radical prostatectomy and needle biopsy specimens [165]. This study suggested that multiplexed IHC provides correlated molecular and morphological information that is not available from traditional IHC and H&E. The study also illustrated the progressive morphological and molecular changes of a benign prostate gland (double layer of basal and luminal cells with a single malignant cell, Figure 11C) to a completely malignant gland (a single layer of malignant cells, Figure 11D), indicating the convenience of multiplexed IHC for the study of tumor progression [165]. Similar to the system in [159], in these two studies, a multispectral imaging system (Nuance, CRI) was mounted on an inverted fluorescence microscope (Olympus IX71) for wavelength-resolved imaging. Improved understanding of tumor progression mechanisms and more rigorous classification can lead to more effective stage-specific and personalized treatments.

Summary and outlook

Molecular heterogeneity amongst tumors presents a challenge for early detection and treatment. Certain types of nanoparticles and imaging systems have been developed to enable multiplexed molecular imaging, which allows for effective imaging of cancers in spite of molecular heterogeneity. In this review, we briefly introduced the optical properties of major classes of nanoparticles that can be highly multiplexed such as QDs and SERS NPs, and summarized current imaging approaches and systems for the multiplexed imaging of these nanoparticles. In addition, by listing representative preclinical and clinical studies, we showcased some potential clinical applications of these multiplexed molecular imaging techniques such as for early detection of cancer, surgical guidance, and molecular pathology.

Although multiplexed molecular imaging techniques have grown significantly in popularity over the past few decades, there are clear opportunities to further improve their speed, accuracy and robustness, an essential step for their translation into clinical practice.

![Figure 10. Multiplexed molecular profiling of FFPE tissue sections using QDs. Different patterns of nuclear, cytoplasmic and cell membrane fluorescent signals were detected by microscopy (left panels, pseudo-color) and expression of these biomarkers was quantified by wavelength-resolved spectroscopy (right panels). The emission spectra were resolved into individual channels and compensated for the differential brightness between different colors. Scale bar represents 20 µm. Reproduced with permission from [60].](http://www.ntno.org)
Figure 11. QD-based IHC for molecular pathology. (A, B) Multispectral imaging of 4 QDs for detection of rare Hodgkin’s and Reed–Sternberg (HRS) tumor cells in Hodgkin’s lymphoma. The images show HRS malignant cells and infiltrating immune cells on lymph node tissue specimens. The HRS cells (arrows) exhibited a characteristic staining pattern: membrane staining (CD30 positive, red), Golgi staining (CD15 positive, white), and nuclear staining (Pax5 positive, blue). Staining patterns were clearly distinct from infiltrating B cells (blue nuclear staining) and T cells (green membrane staining). The scale bar in (A) represents 100 μm; the scale bar in (B) represents 10 μm. Reproduced with permission from [59]. Copyright (2010) American Chemical Society. (C, D) QD multiplexed staining of four protein biomarkers in human prostatectomy specimens highlighting cellular and glandular heterogeneity and tumor progression. The four biomarkers are E-cadherin (green), cytokeratin HMW (white), p63 (red) and AMACR (blue). (C) Largely benign prostate gland with a single malignant cell (arrow) in the luminal layer, as determined by positive AMACR staining. (D) Completely malignant gland, as determined by intense AMACR staining and absent basal cell cytokeratin and p63 signals. The scale bar in (C, D) represents 10 μm. Reproduced with permission from [165]. Copyright (2010) American Chemical Society.

Brighter nanoparticles are always desired to improve imaging speed, spatial resolution, and contrast (ratio of signal to tissue background). For example, some studies have reported polymer dots with a 10-fold enhanced brightness over commercial fluorescent dyes and QDs [15, 25], which can improve the imaging speed and image contrast, especially in the visible range where tissue autofluorescence signals are high. The relative weakness of SERS NP signals limits spectral acquisition rates and scanning speeds, which in turn limits the ability to scan large areas with high spatial resolution (i.e. imaging with large pixel counts). However, newly developed SERRS NPs have exhibited enhanced brightness over non-resonant SERS NPs, enabling the detection of small cancer-cell clusters with microscopic resolution [48, 166, 167].

Unlike label-free methods, molecular imaging based on exogenous agents involves complex interactions between contrast agents and tissue, both for delivery, retention, and wash out [168, 169]. In particular, systemically administered nanoparticles have been plagued by low delivery efficiency (~0.7% delivered to solid tumors) [168]. As an alternative approach, topically administered fluorescent dyes [169], QDs [170] and SERS NPs [75] have been used for molecular endoscopy and for the imaging of exposed surgical margins of freshly resected tissues. Topical application has been shown to be capable of achieving rapid and efficient biomarker labeling. An additional advantage is reduced toxicity and regulatory issues. For both systemic and topical administration, nonspecific accumulation and off-target binding of imaging probes in tissue specimens often confound the interpretation of imaging results. One way to normalize for those nonspecific effects is to utilize a ratiometric (or paired-agent) strategy in which a targeted probe and a control probe are simultaneously administered/applied such that the control probe serves as a quantitative control (and calibration factor) for all nonspecific effects. Compared to the conventional
approach where only targeted probes are used, the ratiometric approach has been shown to improve biomarker quantification using imaging probes of various types such as fluorescent dyes [171-174] and SERS NPs [48, 62, 63, 65, 113, 155, 156] (Figure 9). Note that SERS NPs are superior to fluorescent dyes for ratiometric imaging because different SERS NP flavors are identical in terms of geometry and surface properties (same coating materials), which ensures that nonspecific effects are identical for all NP flavors. Furthermore, all SERS NPs can be excited at a single wavelength, and imaged in the same wavelength range, which obviates wavelength-dependent effects. Finally, numerical modeling of probe delivery and expression levels based on the imaging of targeted potential approach to accurately quantify biomarker range, which obviates wavelength-dependent effects.

With sustained efforts in nanoparticle chemistry, optics, biology, and other fields, we believe that multiplexed molecular imaging techniques will provide benefits for a variety of clinical applications in the future, such as for early cancer diagnosis, surgical guidance, and molecular pathology.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge support from the NIH / NIBIB R21 EB015016 (J.T.C.L.), the NIH / NCI R21 CA215561 (J.T.C.L.), the Department of Mechanical Engineering at the University of Washington, and the department of education GAANN fellowship program (S.K.). The Northwest BioTrust (NWBT) is supported, in part, by the NIH (P30-CA015704).

Competing Interests

Jonathan T.C. Liu is an inventor for a pending patent, “Raman imaging devices and methods of molecular imaging.” The other authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

References


175. Author Biography

Yu Winston Wang received his Ph.D. degree in Mechanical Engineering from Beihang University, Beijing, China, in 2013. After graduation, he joined Dr. Jonathan Liu’s research group as a postdoctoral associate to develop SERS nanoparticles and spectral imaging systems for visualizing cancer biomarkers in tissues. His research interests include Biomedical Optics and Molecular Imaging.

Nicholas P. Reder received a B.S. degree in Biochemistry from University of Michigan in 2008, a M.P.H. degree in Epidemiology from Emory University School of Public Health in 2010, and a M.D. degree with Honors in Research from Loyola Stritch School of Medicine in 2014. Since 2014, he has been working as a resident in Pathology and a postdoctoral researcher in Dr. Jonathan Liu’s research group at the University of Washington. His research interests include Biomedical Optics and Molecular Imaging for cancer diagnosis and surgery.

Soyoun Kang received a B.S. degree in Biomedical Engineering from University of Southern California in 2010 and a M.S. degree in Medical Systems Engineering from University of Magdeburg, Germany in 2014. She is currently pursuing a PhD degree in the Mechanical Engineering Department at the University of Washington. Her work aims to develop a Raman-imaging system for visualizing cancer biomarkers intraoperatively during tumor resection procedures.

Adam K. Glaser received his Ph.D. degree from the Thayer School of Engineering at Dartmouth College in 2015 under the guidance of Dr. Brian Pogue. He is now a post-doctoral research associate in Dr. Jonathan Liu’s research group at the University of Washington, working on the development of light-sheet microscopes for slide-free non-destructive pathology of human tissues. In 2017, he was awarded a F32 NRSA postdoctoral fellowship from the NIH/NCI. His research interests include biomedical optics, molecular imaging, and medical physics.

Jonathan T.C. Liu received degrees in Mechanical Engineering at Princeton (B.S.E., 1999) and Stanford (M.S., 2000 & Ph.D., 2005). He was a postdoctoral fellow in the Department of Electrical Engineering (Ginzton Labs) and the Molecular Imaging Program at Stanford (2005-2009), and was later appointed as an instructor within the Stanford University School of Medicine (2009-2010). From 2010 to 2014, Jonathan was an assistant professor in the Biomedical Engineering Department at SUNY Stony Brook. In 2014, he was appointed as an assistant professor and then an associate professor (in 2016) in the Mechanical Engineering Department at the University of Washington in Seattle. His laboratory for molecular biophotonics develops optical strategies for improving the diagnosis and treatment of diseases. https://www.me.washington.edu/people/faculty/jonathan_liu

http://www.ntno.org